

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 33992

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 458
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: May 8, 2008
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
DANIEL RAYMOND SPARKS,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Darla S. Williamson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for trafficking in methamphetamine or amphetamine by manufacturing, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Sara B. Thomas, Chief, Appellate Unit, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Daniel Raymond Sparks was convicted of trafficking in methamphetamine or amphetamine by manufacturing, Idaho Code §§ 37-2732B(a)(3), 18-204. The district court imposed a unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years. Sparks appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Sparks's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.